EDU	300	Practicum	Rubric
Upda	ted 8	3/30/17	

opation of soft		
EDU 300 Practicum Rubric		a ca cul
	544	/C
reat Weibush	- Colling 10	13
Teacher Candidate	Semester	Weeks Subject/Grade Taught
reaction candidate	Schreden	Subject/Grade Taught
	7 1 /	
St Maris Headen	(D) -chart	() ()
Street (S. F. Street	Q. COICO 1	
School & Town	Cooperating Teacher	University Supervisor
Solicon & Torring	cooperating redefici	Chirolatey Supervisor

This assessment is based on the 10 national standards of effective practice for new teachers (InTASC). Standards 1-3 address *The Learner and Learning*. Standards 4-5 address *Content Knowledge*. Standards 6-8 address *Instructional Practice*. Standards 9-10 address *Professional Responsibility*. Under the Family Education & Privacy Act of 1974, the teacher candidate has the right of inspection and review of this document.

Directions: For each of the items below, place a rating of 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, or 4 by the number which describes the teacher candidate as a pre-professional. *An overall average rating will be calculated by the university for each standard. Thank you for your time and commitment to the profession.

InTASC Standard 1	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
	The teacher candidate							
Supports student learning through developmentally appropriate instruction	implements challenging learning experiences that recognize patterns of learning and development across cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional and physical areas	In addition to ratin partial success at r	implements developmentally appropriate instruction that accounts for learners' strengths, interests and needs	In addition to rating "2" performance, partial success at rating of "3"	implements grade-level appropriate instruction, but does not account for individual learners' differences	With assistance, pa	implements instruction that exceeds or does not match a developmentally appropriate level for the students	3
Accounts for differences in students' prior knowledge	accesses student readiness for learning and expands on individual students' prior knowledge	ng"3" performance, rating of "4"	accounts for individual differences in students' prior knowledge and readiness for learning		addresses students' prior knowledge as a class, but individual differences are not considered	partial success at rating	does not account for differences in students' prior knowledge	3
	*The overall rating	g will be	calculated as an average	of the ro	tings for this standard.			*Rating
	velopment. The teacher understances the cognitive, linguistic, soc	ands ho	w children learn and develo	p, reco	gnizing that patterns of learn			

Carmer Jan 3/26/18

InTASC Standard 2	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
	The teacher candidate							
Exhibits fairness and belief that all students can learn	exhibits high expectations while designing and implementing instructional strategies to meet the diverse needs of all learners in a fair and respectful manner; consistently provides equitable opportunities to meet the needs of learners	In addition to rating"3" performance, partial success at rating of "4"	exhibits respect and high expectations for each learner; communicates with diverse learners in a fair and respectful manner; consistently provides equitable opportunities to meet the diverse needs of learners	In addition to rating "2" performance, partial success at rating of "3"	communicates with diverse learners in a fair and respectful manner; provides occasionally equitable opportunities to meet the diverse needs of learners	With assistance, partial success at rating of "2"	communicates with diverse learners in an unfair and disrespectful manner; provides inequitable opportunities to meet the diverse needs of learners	3.5
,			will be calculated as an averag					Rating
Standard #2: Learning D that allow each learner to r	Differences. The teacher uses unneet high standards.	nderstan	ding of individual differences	and di	verse communities to ensure i	nclusi	ve learning environments	
		,						
InTASC Standard 3	Distinguished (4)	(3.5	Proficient (3)	(2.5	Emerging (2)	(1.5	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
III I ASC Standard 5	Distinguished (4)	(3.3	Froncient (3))	Emerging (2)	(1.5	Oliderdeveloped (1)	Kating
	The teacher candidate							3
Structures a classroom environment that promotes student engagement	develops a highly engaging learning environment, taking into account student differences and learning needs communicates standards of conduct that are clear and	In addition to rati	develops a learning environment that is consistently engaging for most students	In addition to rating "2" partial success at rating	attempts to develop a learning environment that is engaging for most students	With assistance, pof "2"	needs assistance in developing a learning environment that is engaging for most students	3
Clearly communicates expectations for appropriate student behavior	communicates standards of conduct that are clear and effective	ng"3" perfo	communicates clear standards of conduct	_ 3	communicates standards of conduct that may not be clear	partial success	has minimal standards of conduct in place	2.5
Responds appropriately to student behavior	a consistent basis	nce,	he teacher candidate nonitors and responds to tudent behavior effectively	mance,	the teacher candidate inconsistently monitors and responds to student behavior	ess at rating	the teacher candidate needs assistance with monitoring student behavior or in responding consistently	7.5
			will be calculated as an averag	<u> </u>				
	ng Environments. The tea							
collaborative learning	and that encourage positive	e soci	al interaction, active enga	igeme	ent in learning, and self-r	notiva	ation.	

EDU 300 Practicum Rubric

Upd	lated	8/3	0/	1	7

))		
7.00 (1 1 1 1	The teacher candidate							
subject matter k f a c u	displays mastery of content knowledge and learning progressions that allow flexible adjustments to address learners at their current level of understanding to either remediate or deepen the learners' understanding	addition to rating"3" ccess at rating of "4"	instructional practices indicate understanding of content knowledge and learning progressions; practices are complete and appropriate for the content	In addition to rating "2" perf success at rating of "3"	displays basic content knowledge; instructional practices indicate some awareness of learning progressions; practices are incomplete or inaccurate for the content	ith assistanc	displays minimal content knowledge; instructional practices indicate little awareness of learning progressions, and practices are too often incomplete or inaccurate for the content	3.5
content through meaningful learning experiences r	creates an interactive environment where learners take the initiative to master content and engage in meaningful learning experiences to master the content		applies appropriate strategies designed to engage learners in meaningful experiences and guide them toward mastery of content	performance, partial	attempts to apply appropriate strategies in instructional practice to engage learners in mastery of content	ccess at rating of	applies inappropriate strategies in instructional practice to engage learners in mastery of content	3.5
	*The overall rat	ing will b	e calculated as an average	of the	ratings for this standard.			*Rating
Standard #4: Content Know learning experiences that mak	vledge. The teacher underst te these aspects of the disci	ands the pline acc	central concepts, tools of in essible and meaningful for	iquiry, learner	and structures of the disciplings to assure mastery of the con-	e(s) he o tent.	or she teaches and creates	
InTASC Standard 6	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5	5) Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
	The teacher candidate							
assessment n s ti	designs and modifies multiple formative and summative assessments that align with learning targets and assessments are differentiated to meet student needs	add	uses multiple assessments that align with the learning targets	In addition to rating "2" performance, partial	uses multiple assessments, but not all are aligned with the learning targets	success at rating of "2"	With assessment methods and items that are not aligned with learning targets	3,5
	*The over	all rating	will be calculated as an av	erage (of the ratings for this standard	<i>l</i> .		*Rating
Standard #6: Assessment. T	he teacher understands and	uses mu	Itiple methods of assessmen	nt to en	ngage learners in their own gro	wth, to	monitor learner progress.	

Connects lesson goals with school curriculum and state standards and structure and sequence; proactively anticipates misconceptions and prepares to address them Adjusts instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instructional plans to meet students' needs The teacher candidate plans a variety of learning experiences that are aligned with learning goals and standards and structure and sequence proactively anticipates misconceptions and prepares to address them uses information gained from assessment findings and becomes more capable of predicting, and planning ahead to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instructional plans to meet students' needs proactively addresses student teachers, other teachers, and/or specialists to design instruction individual student learning information plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information individually	InTASC Standard 7	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
with school curriculum and state standards understanding of prerequisite relationships between goals and standards and structure and sequence; proactively anticipates misconceptions and prepares to address them Adjusts instructional plans to meet students' needs Collaboratively designs instruction Proactively addresses student learning needs through ongoing collaboration with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, and/or specialists understanding of prerequisite relationships between goals and standards in a structure and sequence (sproactively anticipates misconceptions and prepares to address them uses information gained from assessment findings to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs uses assessment findings to modify instructional plans to meet students' needs plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists to design instruction that addresses and supports individual student learning goals and standards in a structure and sequence (seigned to meet student needs uses information gained from assessment findings to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists to design instruction that addresses and supports individual student learning goals and standards in a structure and sequence estigened to meet student from assessment findings to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists to design instruction that addresses and supports individual student learning goals and standards in a structure and sequence estigened to meet student from assessment findings to customize instructional plans to meet students' needs plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information plans to meet students' needs plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information		The teacher candidate							
Collaboratively designs instruction proactively addresses student learning needs through ongoing collaboration with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, and/or specialists plans with the cooperating teacher and/or specialists to design instruction that addresses and supports individual student learning plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information	with school curriculum and state standards	understanding of prerequisite relationships between goals and standards and structure and sequence; proactively anticipates misconceptions and prepares to address them	to rating"3"	experiences that are aligned with learning goals and standards in a structure and sequence designed to meet student needs	र हों। n addition to rating "2" 3"	experiences that are		aligned with learning	3
Collaboratively designs instruction proactively addresses student learning needs through ongoing collaboration with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, and/or specialists plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers and supports individual student learning plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information plans with the cooperating teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined to exchanging information	plans to meet students'	assessment findings and becomes more capable of predicting, and planning ahead to customize instructional plans to meet		assessment findings to customize instructional plans	partial	to modify instructional plans to meet students'	success at rating of "2	to meet student learning differences or	2,5
		learning needs through ongoing collaboration with the cooperating teacher, other	success at rating of	teacher and/or specialists to design instruction that addresses and supports	uccess at	teacher, other teachers, or specialists but is confined	3	1	3
*The overall rating will be calculated as an average of the ratings for this standard.		*The overall ratin	g will b	e calculated as an average of the	ratings	s for this standard.			*Rating

InTASC Standard 8	Distinguished (4)	(3.5)	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
	The teacher candidate							
Differentiates instruction for a variety of learning needs	differentiates instruction in the areas of content, process, product, or learning environment in the best interests of the students	In addition to partial succes	varies instruction for individuals or small groups to create learning experiences that are well matched to student needs	In addition to partial succes	varies teaching of individual or small group learning experiences, but variations are not well-matched to student needs	With assistan of "2"	teaches individual or small group learning experiences without differentiating instruction	3,5
Instructional practices reflect effective communication skills	articulates thoughts and ideas effectively using oral, written and nonverbal communication skills in a variety of forms and contexts to inform, instruct, and motivate during instruction; uses multiple media and technologies; listens respectfully to decipher meaning	o rating"3" performance, ss at rating of "4"	listens and respectfully articulates thoughts and ideas using technology as well as oral, written and nonverbal communication to connect with students during instruction	s a	articulates thoughts and ideas using oral, written and nonverbal communication skills but over-relies on the same forms of communication during instruction; uses technology for communication in some instances; listens to others	ce, partial success at rating	makes frequent errors during instruction when articulating thoughts and ideas using oral, written, and nonverbal communication skills; does not use technology for communication; seldom listens	35
	*The overall rating	will be	calculated as an average of the	e ratin	gs for this standard.			Rating
Standard #8: Instruction content areas and their cor	al Strategies. The teacher understance tions, and to build skills to app	ands and ly know	duses a variety of instructional ledge in meaningful ways.	strateg	gies to encourage learners to	devel	op deep understanding of	

InTASC Standard 9	Distinguished (4)	(3.5	Proficient (3)	(2.5)	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
)						
	The teacher candidate							
Uses feedback to improve teaching effectiveness	seeks multiple sources of feedback and takes responsibility for ongoing professional learning to address identified needs and areas of professional interest	In addition to ratin partial success at r	accepts and reflects upon feedback from colleagues to evaluate and improve teaching effectiveness	In addition to rating partial success at ra	accepts feedback to improve teaching effectiveness	With assistance, prating of "2"	resists feedback to improve teaching effectiveness	3.5
Uses self-reflection to improve teaching effectiveness	reflects on thoughtful and specific indicators of effectiveness in the lesson. The lessons learned tend to improve future planning,	ing"3" performance, rating of "4"	reflects on the lesson and accurately assesses the effectiveness of instructional activities used and identifies specific ways	ıg "2" performance, ating of "3"	reflects on the lesson and has a general sense of whether or not instructional practices were effective and identifies general	partial success at	reflects on the lesson, but draws incorrect conclusions about its effectiveness and/or identifies no areas for improvement	3

	adaptations, and instructional practice	in which a lesson might be improved	modifications for future instruction		
Upholds legal responsibilities as a professional educator	demonstrates an understanding of the larger context of public education policy by staying appraised of changing laws and ethical standards, through literature, professional development or activities	acts in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards; complies with laws and policies related to learners' rights and teachers' responsibilities	acts in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards but demonstrates limited understanding of federal, state, and district regulations and policies	does not act in accordance with ethical codes of conduct and professional standards and demonstrates inadequate knowledge of federal, state, and district regulations and policies	3
	*The overall rating	g will be calculated as an average of t	he ratings for this standard.		*Rating
	e effects of his/her choices and actio	e. The teacher engages in ongoing proons on others (learners, families, and o			

Distinguished (4)	(3.5	Proficient (3)	(2.5	Emerging (2)	(1.5)	Underdeveloped (1)	Rating
))				
The teacher candidate.							
initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance	In addition to rating "3" performance, partial success at rating of "4"	develops supportive and collaborative relationships with colleagues that improve student performance	In addition to rating "2" performance, partial	develops cordial relationships with colleagues; attempts to improve student performance	With assistance, partial success at rating of "2"	develops relationships with colleagues that are characterized by negativity or combativeness	35
*The overall	rating	will be calculated as an avera	age of th	he ratings for this standard.			Rating
and Collaboration. The t	eacher	seeks appropriate leadership	roles ar	nd opportunities to take resp	onsibili		
	The teacher candidate. initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance *The overall is and Collaboration. The teacher cand collaboration.	initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance *The overall rating of and Collaboration. The teacher	The teacher candidate initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance *The overall rating will be calculated as an average and Collaboration. The teacher seeks appropriate leadership	The teacher candidate initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance *The overall rating will be calculated as an average of the part of the teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and collaboration. The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles are supportive and collaborative relationships with colleagues that improve student performance *The overall rating will be calculated as an average of the part of the teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles are supportive and collaborative relationships with colleagues that improve student performance.	The teacher candidate initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance *The overall rating will be calculated as an average of the ratings for this standard. and Collaboration. The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take response.	The teacher candidate initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance *The overall rating will be calculated as an average of the ratings for this standard. initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with colleagues; at tempts to improve student performance *The overall rating will be calculated as an average of the ratings for this standard. and Collaboration. The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility.	The teacher candidate initiates supportive and collaborative relationships with teachers, administration, support staff, and specialists that benefit the teacher and student performance because of the teacher and student performance collaborative relationships with teachers and student performance collaborative relationships with colleagues that improve student performance collaborative relationships with colleagues; attempts to improve student performance colleagues; attempts to improve student performance develops cordial relationships with colleagues that are characterized by negativity or combativeness